Lessons learned from a wind turbine blade repurposing project



Paul Leahy School of Engineering & Architecture, University College Cork

Angela Nagle, Kieran Ruane, Lawrence Bank, Zoe Zhang, Emma Delaney, Ger Mullally, Niall Dunphy, Jennifer McKinley, Russell Gentry, An Huynh, Marios Soutsos

Re-Wind Network

University College Cork, Queens University Belfast, City University of New York, Georgia Institute of Technology, Munster Technological University

International Conference on Sustainable Wind Turbine Blades November 21st-23rd, Roskilde, Denmark

www.re-wind.info



Presentation Overview

- Re-Wind project background
- Creating the repurposing evidence base
- Lessons learned by Re-Wind
- Lessons for the industry!



Re-Wind Catalog Modeling and Graphics: Asha McDonald, Chloe Kiernicki, Mehmet Bermek, Zoe Zhang, Alex Poff, Sakshi Kakkad, Emily Lau, Franco Arias, Russell Gentry.

Blade Repurposing: Rationale & Hypothesis

- Rationale: preserve blades' engineered value
- Repurposing EoL GFRP blades sits near the top of the waste hierarchy
 - Technically feasible
 - Environmentally sustainable
 - Socially and economically sustainable
- We need evidence!
 - Sustainable Development Goals



N29 blades at Everun Ltd, Northern Ireland

Evidence Base: BladeBridge

 BladeBridge #2, Midleton, Rep. Ireland BladeBridge #3,
Dunnamore, N. Ireland



Generating the evidence base: technical feasibility



Environmental Sustainability: Repurposing versus alternatives

- EoL LCA: blade bridge environmentally preferable to alternative EoL treatments: co-processing or landfill (baseline, not shown)
- Product LCA: 14% reduction in overall environmental impact compared to a conventional steel bridge. Material substitution, transport.



Social Sustainability



Multicriteria decision analysis based on

- custom UN SDG indicators,
- LCA outputs, Delphi panels of experts

Decarbonising transport; Healthy lifestyles; Sustainable rural development

End-of-Life alternatives for wind turbine blades: Sustainability Indices based on the UN sustainable development goals

Peter Deeney ^{a, b, g, *}, Angela J. Nagle ^{a, b}, Fergal Gough ^{a, c}, Heloisa Lemmertz ^{a, c}, Emma L. Delaney ^d, Jennifer M. McKinley ^d, Conor Graham ^d, Paul G. Leahy ^{a, b, g}, Niall P. Dunphy ^{a, b, e, g}, Gerard Mullally ^{a, c, e, f, g}

Resources, Conservation & Recycling 171 (2021) 105642

Lifecycle Costing of BladeBridge vs Conventional Bridge



- Learning by Doing: costs decrease for 2nd and subsequent bridges.
 - Design streamlining; less reverse engineering;
 - Client confidence : less over-engineering

Angie Nagle, PhD Thesis, UCC (2022)



Lesson 1: EoL GFRP blade solution maturity & scalability



Technology Readiness Level (TRL)

Lesson 2: Data (re)discovery adds cost

- Sourcing blades
- 3-D LiDAR scanning
- Geometry extraction
- Destructive and nondestructive testing
- Burnout tests
- ***FE modelling***







Lesson 3: EoL blade supply and recovered product demand are spiky

- Blade repurposing (and pyrolysis, and solvolysis...) is a twosided business
- Temporal mismatch in supply and demand
- Solutions
 - Blade Brokerage
 - Blade Storage



Emma Delaney, QUB

Lesson 4: Location, location, location

- Transport emissions are important for all EoL solutions
- Transport costs for large blade sections versus small cuts
- Local repurposing retains and adds local value (enterprise, jobs, public acceptance, environmental benefits)



Lesson 5: find the value



- Value is determined by the "other" side of the business model
- Costs are important but costs can reduce with scale-up and technical maturity
- Limited room to find value in some EoL solutions
- New products and new markets?



How can OEMs facilitate repurposing?

- OEMs : share your data!
 - We need materials quantities, geometries, FRP layer specifications
 - 3-D LiDAR scanning, reverse engineering, FE modelling, certification, destructive and nondestructive testing, coupon tests, burnout tests...
 - all of these add costs!
 - Other EoL solutions also need this information
 - Legacy blade designs, no longer in production
 - Increase confidence in repurposed products

How can operators and decommissioners facilitate repurposing?

- Develop partnerships, sign MoUs with repurposers and EoL solution providers
 - Involve EoL solution providers early in the decommissioning process : site works planning, access, equipment.
 - Sustainability requirements in tenders
 - Win-win scenarios saving money and increasing sustainability
- Data sharing : blade history, work orders, SCADA data, digital twins

How can procurers facilitate repurposing?

- Two-sided business model: demand is the "other" business segment
- Extremely competitive markets even for high-value products such as bridges
 - Green & circular public procurement **mandates**
 - Certification, regulations and codes regarding use of recycled/repurposed materials





Public Sector

Conclusions



- Repurposing EoL blades is technically, economically, socially and environmentally feasible and is scalable
- However significant barriers remain
- Co-operation and sharing information can bring down costs and improve outcomes for all stakeholders
- WindValue & WindLEDeRR projects
- BladeBridge Blade Repurposing Startup



Thank you

Acknowledgements to Re-Wind research team at University College Cork, Queens University Belfast, and Munster Technological University & Steering Group Funders: Science Foundation Ireland; National Science Foundation; Department for the Economy, Northern Ireland.

<u>www.re-wind.info</u> <u>paul.leahy@ucc.ie</u> Linkedin: Re-Wind Network





